## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) 9:46CB22
Plaintiff,	) 8:16CR23
vs.	ORDER
JANET HOFAKER,	}
Defendant.	}

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Janet Hofaker (Hofaker) (Filing No. 44). Hofaker seeks an additional twenty-one days in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Hofaker's counsel represents that Hofaker will file an affidavit wherein she consents to the motion and acknowledges she understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Hofaker's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

## IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Hofaker's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 44) is granted. Hofaker is given until on or before April 21, 2016, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between March 30, 2016, and April 21, 2016, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 30th day of March, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge